

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,073	03/11/2004	Chul Ho Ham	MRE-0070	4384	
34610 75	90 11/28/2005		EXAMINER		
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP			SEFER, AHMED N		
P.O. BOX 2212					
CHANTILLY, VA 20153			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2826		
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	8
Idress	
abandonment of dence, which AFR 41.31; or in one of the	
PYCHINITED IN NO PET WATHINITED OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PET OF THE	
onths of the date I of the appeal. 7(a).	
d because	
ng the issues for	
nt (PTOL-324).	
ment canceling	
n explanation of	
not be entered is necessary	
ef, will <u>not</u> be	

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/797,073	HAM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
A. Sefer	2826		

Advisory Action	10/797,073	HAM ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	A. Sefer	2826			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence addi	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 09 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse the present however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a potice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl the final rejection.	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 cly must be filed within	ence, which FR 41.31; or one of the		
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ATENT EVALUATION OF Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHINGTON MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	) and the appropriate exte The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	ension fee have n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for					
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	·	-	_		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		rill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
<ul> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12.  Note the attrached Information Disclosure Statement(s)</li> </ul>			nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:					

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 11. The claim language does not preclude for the housing to be an integral part of the carrier module. Furthermore, the arguments regarding the 112, first paragraph rejection of claims 6, 8, 10 appear to be persuasive, while the those regarding claims 15 and 20 are not -- the drawings must show using reference numerals the recitation calling for "telescoping housing having a first internal vacuum conduit; and ... a second internal vacuum conduit having first and second end ...".